PATENT

Attorney Docket No. 25791.272.06

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: \$ Group Art Unit: 3672
Brisco, et al. \$ Examiner: Unknown

Serial No. 10/552,790 \$ Confirmation No.: 4542

For: APPARATUS FOR RADIALLY EXPANDING AND PLASTICALLY DEFORMING A

TUBULAR MEMBER

Filed: October 11, 2005

MAIL STOP PCT

Bryan Lin
PCT Legal Examiner
PCT Legal Office
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being filed with the United States Patent and Trademark Office via EFS-Web on the following date.

Date fright 18, 2001

Sir

PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.182

Applicants refer to a telephone conference on February 22, 2007 between

Attorney Advisor Richard Ross and Charles E. Van Horn who is also engaged to
represent applicants in this matter as indicated by the attached Authorization to Act in a
Representative Capacity (Attachment A). During that telephone conference, Mr. Van

Horn explained that this is one of several applications in which essentially the same
procedures were followed to reduce the number of claims presented for examination in
the National Stage application. Although the procedures found defective in this
application were found acceptable by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (PTO) in
other applications, applicants want to ensure that all applications are processed

correctly and that any errors are corrected with minimal expense and inconvenience to both applicants and the PTO.

It was agreed with Mr. Ross, and subsequently with his successor, PCT Legal Examiner Bryan Lin, that applicants would seek an appropriate basis for relief in one of the affected applications, namely U.S. Patent Application No. 10/548,934, so that it could be used as a template for action in the other affected applications. Attached is a copy of the Decision on the Renewed Petition for Refund Under 37 CFR 1.26 that was treated as a Petition Under 37 CFR § 1.182 (Attachment B). As noted in the Decision, the Petition Under 37 CFR § 1.182 was granted and a refund of \$28,650.00 was deposited in Deposit Account No. 08-1394.

BACKGROUND

On April 7, 2004, applicants filed International Application No.

PCT/US2004/010712 containing 537 claims, which claimed the priority of United States

Patent Application No. 60/461,094 filed April 8, 2003. The thirty month period for paying the basic national fee in the United States expired on October 8, 2005.

On October 11, 2005, applicants filed national stage papers in the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) (October 8, 2005 was a Saturday; October 10, 2005 was Columbus Day, a federal holiday; therefore the national stage papers were timely filed). The submission was accompanied by *inter alia*, the basic national fee required by 35 U.S.C. 371(c)(1), a substitute specification attempting to reduce the number of claims from 537 to 32, a check in the amount of \$8,500.00 that included

\$6,400.00 for excess claims fees for a total of 32 claims, and an authorization to charge any additional fees which may be required to Deposit Account No. 08-1394.

On May 26, 2006, applicants' representative's Deposit Account No. 08-1394 was debited for excess claim fees in an additional amount of \$31,850.00 based upon the number of claims in the international application.

Applicants file the present Petition Under 37 CFR § 1.182 to waive the requirement that a preliminary amendment must accompany the initial national stage papers in order to reduce the number of claims to be considered in calculating extra claim fees.

DISCUSSION

37 CFR § 1.26(a) states in relevant part.

The Commissioner may refund any fee paid by mistake or in excess of that required. A change of purpose after the payment of a fee, such as when a party desires to withdraw a patent or trademark filing for which the fee was paid, including an application, an appeal, or a request for an oral hearing, will not entitle a party to a refund of such fee.

A review of international application PCT/US2004/010712 reveals that the application contained 537 claims. A proper amendment reducing the number of claims was never submitted in the international application.

MPEP § 1893.01(c) states in relevant part,

A preliminary amendment accompanying the initial national stage submission under 35 U.S.C. § 371 that cancels claims and/or eliminates multiple dependent claims will be effective to reduce the number of claims to be considered in calculating extra claim fees required under 37 CFR § 1.492(d)-(e) and/or eliminate the multiple dependent claim fee required under 37 CFR § 1.492(f). A subsequently filled amendment cancelling claims and/or eliminating multiple dependent claims will not entitle applicant to a refund of fees previously paid. See MPEP § 607 and § 608. (Emphasis added.)

In the present case, the initial national stage submission on October 11, 2005 did not include a proper preliminary amendment.

It is respectfully submitted, however, that it is apparent from the papers filed October 11, 2005 that applicants sought to reduce the number of claims for examination at the time of national stage entry. Specifically, the substitute specification contained a listing of 32 claims, rather than the 537 claims contained in the international application. Furthermore, the transmittal letter (Form PTO-1390) contained a payment calculation based on the presence of 32 claims as opposed to 537 claims. A proper preliminary amendment consistent with the claims contained in the substitute specification is filed herewith, reducing the total number of claims to 32. Because of applicants' intent to reduce the number of claims for examination and because the fees paid towards the examination of claims are not remotely commensurate with the number of claims that remain for examination, applicants submit that justice in the present case requires waiver of the requirement that a preliminary amendment must accompany the initial national stage papers in order to reduce the number of claims to be considered in calculating extra claim fees.

REQUESTED RELIEF

Accordingly, it is requested that the claim fees paid based on the presence of the original 537 claims, less the claim fees based on the remaining 32 claims, be deemed to be in excess of that required, and that refund of such excess under 37 CFR § 1.26(a) is appropriate.

A review of the fee records for the present application reveals that \$31,850.00 has been paid for excess claim fees. The application currently contains 32 total claims.

including 32 independent claims. Thus, an extra claims fee of \$6,400.00 is required which is comprised of a fee of \$600.00 for 12 claims in excess of 20, and a fee of \$5,800.00 for 29 independent claims in excess of 3. Consequently, it is requested that a total of \$25,450.00 be refunded to Deposit Account No. 08-1394.

A Request for Refund of Fees was filed on June 1, 2006 and is still awaiting action. Applicants hereby request that the Request for Refund of Fees be withdrawn in favor of the present Petition Under 37 CFR § 1.182.

CONCLUSION

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of any fees associated with this Petition, including the fee due under 37 CFR § 1.17(f), or to credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 08-1394, Order No. 25791.272.06.

Prompt and favorable consideration of this petition and application are respectfully requested. If any additional information is needed or desired, please contact the undersigned at your convenience.

Respectfully submitted,

HAYNES AND BOONE, L.L

Dated: 28 Avecst 2007

Randall C. Brown Reg. No. 31,213

Telephone: 214-651-5242 Fax: 214-200-0853

Attachments A and B

ATTACHMENT A

PTO/SB/84 (09-06)

Approved for use through 12/31/2008 OMB 0651-0035
U.S. Patent and Trademark (Topu, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of Information unless & contains a valid OMB control number.

AUTHORIZATION TO ACT IN A REPRESENTATIVE CAPACITY

In re Application of:	Brisco, et al.		
Application No.	10/552,790		
Filed:	October 11, 2005		
Title: Apparatus	for radially expanding and	plastically deforming a tubular member	
Attorney Docket No	25791.272.06	Art Unit: 3676	
The practitio	ner named below is author	rized to conduct interviews and has the authori	ity to bind the principal

The practitioner named below is authorized to conduct interviews and has the authority to bind the principal concerned. (Note: pursuant to 37 CFR 10.5f(c), a practitioner cannot authorize other registered practitioners to conduct interviews without consent of the client after full disclosure.) Furthermore, the practitioner is authorized to file correspondence in the above-identified application pursuant to 37 CFR 1.34:

Name	Registration Number	
harles E. Van Horn	40,266	

This is not a Power of Attorney to the above-named practitioner. Accordingly, the practitioner named above does not have authority to sign a request to change the correspondence address, a request for an express abandonment, a disclaimer, a power of attorney, or other document requiring the signature of the applicant, assignee of the entire interest or an attorney of record. If appropriate, a separate Power of Attorney to the above-named practitioner should be executed and field in the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

SIGNATORE of Practitioner of Record			
Signature	Kull C.b-	Date 2/16/07	
Name	Randall C. Brown	Registration No., if applicable 31,213	
Telephone	(214) 651-5242		

This soldiction of information is required by 1.31.1.32 and 1.34. The Information is required to obtain or metrin a bornel by the public which is to fig part by the USPTO or processing an experience for soft of the Committee of

ATTACHMENT B



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP 901 MAIN STREET SUITE 3100 DALLAS, TX 75202-3789

In re Application of WATSON et al
U.S. Application No.: 10/548,934
PCT Application No.: PCT/US2004/007711
Int. Filine Date: 11 March 2004

Priority Date Claimed: 11 March 2003 Attorney Docket No.: 25791.253.05

For: APPARATUS FOR RADIALLY
EXPANDING AND PLASTICALLY

EXPANDING AND PLASTICALLY DEFORMING A TUBULAR MEMBER

DECISION

This is in response to applicant's "Renewed Petition for Refund Under 37 CFR 1.26" filed 27 November 2006 and "Supplemental Renewed Petition for Refund Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.26" filed 13 March 2007, which are being treated together as a petition under 37 CFR 1.182 as authorized by applicant. The requisite \$400.00 petition fee will be charged to Deposit Account No. 08-1394 as authorized in the petition.

BACKGROUND

On 11 March 2004, applicant filed international application PCT/US2004/007711, which claimed priority of an earlier United States application filed 11 March 2003. The thirty-month period for paying the basic national fee in the United States expired on 12 September 2005 (11 September 2005 was a Sunday).

On 12 September 2005, applicant filed national stage papers in the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US). The submission was accompanied by, *inter alia*, the basic national fee required by 35 U.S.C. 371(c)(1), a substitute specification, and an authorization to charge any fees which may be required to a deposit account.

On 14 June 2006, applicant's deposit account was debited for excess claim fees.

On 30 June 2006, applicant filed a request for refund under 37 CFR 1.26.

Application Number: 10/548,934

On 26 September 2006, this Office mailed a decision dismissing the 30 June 2006 request for refund

On 27 November 2006, applicant filed the present renewed request for refund under 37 CFR 1.26.

On 13 March 2007, applicant filed the present supplemental renewed request for refund under 37 CFR 1.26.

DISCUSSION

37 CFR 1.26(a) states in relevant part,

The Commissioner may refund any fee paid by mistake or in excess of that required. A change of purpose after the payment of a fee, such as when a party desires to withdraw a patent or trademark filing for which the fee was paid, including an application, an appeal, or a request for an oral hearing, will not entitle a narty to a refund of such fee.

A review of international application PCT/US2004/0007711 reveals that the application contained 520 claims. A proper amendment reducing the number of claims was never submitted in the international application.

MPEP 1893.01(c) states in relevant part,

A preliminary amendment accompanying the initial national stage submission under 35 U.S.C. 371 that cancels claims and/or eliminates multiple dependent claims will be effective to reduce the number of claims to be considered in calculating extra claim fees required under 37 CFR 1.492**>(a)-(e)-(and/or eliminate the multiple dependent claim fee required under 37 CFR 1.492**>(b)-(A subsequently filed amendment canceling claims and/or eliminating multiple dependent claims will not entitle applicant to a refund of fees previously paid. See MPEP 8 607 and 8 608, (Emphasis added.)

In the present case, the initial national stage submission on 12 September 2005 did not include a proper preliminary amendment. The petition states that the presentation of claims contained in the substitute specification should have been treated as an improperly formatted amendment, and that applicant should have been given an opportunity to file a corrected preliminary amendment in accordance with MPEP 714.01(e). Even assuming arguendo that applicant should be allowed to submit a corrected preliminary amendment, any corrected amendment would not have accompanied the initial national stage submission and thus would not be effective to reduce the number of claims to be considered in calculating extra claim fees in accordance with MPEP 1893.01(e). Therefore, the corrected amendment would not entitle applicant to a refund of the extra claim fees previously paid.

However, it is apparent from the papers filed 12 September 2006 that applicant sought to reduce the number of claims for examination at the time of national stage entry. Specifically, the substitute specification contained a listing of 67 claims, rather than the 520 claims contained in

the international application. Furthermore, the transmittal letter (Form PTO-1390) contained a payment calculation based on the presence of 67 claims as opposed to 520 claims. A proper preliminary amendment was filed on 13 March 2007, reducing the total number of claims to 67. Because of applicant's intent to reduce the number of claims for examination and because the fees paid towards the examination of claims are not remotely commensurate with number of claims that remain for examination, justice in the present case requires waiver of the requirement that a preliminary amendment must accompany the initial national stage papers in order to reduce the number of claims to be considered in calculating extra claim fees. Accordingly, the claim fees paid based on the presence of the original 520 claims, less the claim fees based on the remaining 67 claims, are deemed to be in excess of that required, and refund of such excess under 37 CFR 1.26(a) is appropriate.

A review of the fee records for the present application reveals that \$33,600.00 has been paid for excess claim fees. The application currently contains 67 total claims, including 16 independent claims. Thus, a fee of \$2,550.00 for 47 claims in excess of 20 is required, and a fee of \$2,600.00 for 13 independent claims in excess of 3 is required. A total of \$28,650.00 will be refunded to Deposit Account No. 08-1394.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons above, the petition under 37 CFR 1.182 is GRANTED.

This application is being returned to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) for processing in accordance with this decision and will be forwarded to Technology Center AU 3676 for examination in due course.

Bryan Lin
PCT Legal Examiner
PCT Legal Office

Telephone: 571-272-3303 Facsimile: 571-273-0459 86/12/2007 SBASHEIR 88888881 881394 18548934

91 FC:1614 209.00 DA

Adjustment Date: 86/12/2007 SBASHEIR 86/14/2006 IEVANS 60008001 881394 18548934 82 FC:1614 6200.00 CR

Adjustment Date: 86/12/2007 SBASHEIR 86/14/2006 1EVANS 60000001 881394 10548934 81 FC:1615 2265A.AA CR